

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 11, 1997
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

- 5th Dist. Karen Martin, Petitioner
F029135 v.
S064211 Tulare County Superior Court, Respondent
People, Real Party in Interest
Application for stay and petition for review DENIED.
- S009108 People, Respondent
v.
Ronaldo Medrano Ayala, Appellant
On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's brief is extended to and including October 16, 1997.
- S052136 Christopher Evans Hubbard, Petitioner
v.
Santa Clara County Superior Court, Respondent
People, Real Party in Interest
On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the supplemental brief be served and filed on or before October 1, 1997.
- S057756 In re Martin James Kipp
on
Habeas Corpus
On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including October 15, 1997.
- S058197 People, Respondent
v.
Cadelia Louise Howard, Appellant
On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's reply brief on the merits is extended to and including September 24, 1997.

S059739 In re James Robert Scott
 on
 Habeas Corpus

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including October 15, 1997.

S060702 Lew Warden, Appellant
 v.
 State Bar of California, Respondent

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's reply brief on the merits is extended to and including September 12, 1997.

S058207 Flyzell Jones et al., Appellants
 v.
 KMart Corporation et al., Appellants

The application of American Civil Liberties Union of Northern California for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of appellants is hereby granted.

An answer thereto may be served and filed by any party within twenty days of the filing of the brief.

S048291 In re **Anthony R. Gaston** Discipline

For good cause shown and as recommended by the State Bar Court Hearing Department in its decision filed April 3, 1997, it is hereby ordered that the probation of **Anthony R. Gaston** as previously imposed in S048291 (94-H-11513) be extended for one year. Costs payable pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10.

S062620 In re **Edwrad G. Duree** Discipline

It is ordered that **Edwrad G. Duree** be suspended from the practice of law for 90 days, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for one year subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Regarding Stipulation filed March 19, 1997. It is further ordered that he take and pass the

Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar and shall be added to and become part of the membership fee for the next calendar year. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6140.7.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and those costs are payable in accordance with section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

S062625 In re **Howard A. Lipton**on Discipline

It is ordered that **Howard A. Lipton** be suspended from the practice of law for 30 days. Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and those costs are payable in accordance with section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

S062628 In re **Robert J. Curatola**on Discipline

It is ordered that **Robert J. Curatola** be suspended from the practice of law for three years and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of said suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years subject to the conditions of probation, including actual suspension for one year and payment of restitution, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Further Order Regarding Stipulation filed March 31, 1997, as modified by its order filed May 13, 1997. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners and provide the State Bar Probation Unit with satisfactory proof of his passage of said examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs payable in accordance with section 6140.7 are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10.

*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

- S064065 In the Matter of the Resignation of **Margaret A. Imber**
A Member of the State Bar of California
The voluntary resignation of **Margaret A. Imber** as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.
- S064066 In the Matter of the Resignation of **William Henry Halker, Jr.**
A Member of the State Bar of California
The voluntary resignation of **William Henry Halker, Jr.** as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.
- S064067 In the Matter of the Resignation of **David William Michael, Jr.**
A Member of the State Bar of California
The voluntary resignation of **David William Michael, Jr.** as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.
- S064068 In the Matter of the Resignation of **Steven Novick**
A Member of the State Bar of California
The voluntary resignation of **Steven Novick** as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.
- S064069 In the Matter of the Resignation of **David W. Packard**
A Member of the State Bar of California
The voluntary resignation of **David W. Packard** as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.
- S064136 In the Matter of the Resignation of **William F. Briody**
A Member of the State Bar of California
The voluntary resignation of **William F. Briody** as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against him should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.
*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S064138 In the Matter of the Resignation of **Juliette Z. Allen**
A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Juliette Z. Allen** as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against her should she hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that she comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that she perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S064141 In the Matter of the Resignation of **Milton D. Rosenberg**
A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Milton D. Rosenberg** as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against him should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)